



Advance Payments of the Premium Tax Credit (APTC) Program Assessment Report

for

Neighborhood Health Plan of Rhode Island (Rhode Island)

March 29, 2022

Table of Contents

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
II. BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY	5
III. RESULTS OF REVIEW	8
IV. FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS.....	10
V. MANAGEMENT RESPONSES	18
Appendix 1 – Issuer Management Response to Net Financial Adjustment	19
Appendix 2 – Applicable Regulations	20
Appendix 3 – Glossary of Terms and Acronyms	20

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

Neighborhood Health Plan of Rhode Island (NHPRI) is a health insurance issuer that offered qualified health plans (QHPs) in the individual market State-Based Exchange (SBE) in Rhode Island during the 2015 benefit year. The state of Rhode Island submitted NHPRI's final restated 2015 benefit year data in the July 2016 Enrollment and Payment Data Workbook (EPDW). The issuer received a total of \$42,899,940.07 in advance payments of the premium tax credit (APTC) from the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and the SBE reported a total of \$57,295,477.86 in premiums for the issuer's 2015 benefit year individual market plans.

This report is an assessment, conducted in coordination with the SBE, of NHPRI's compliance with the APTC program established in sections 1401 and 1412 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Pub. L. 111–148) enacted on March 23, 2010 and further amended and revised by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–152) enacted on March 30, 2010 (collectively referred to throughout as PPACA), and implementing regulations. This report also details the results of the assessment of premiums for information purposes only as CMS did not charge user fees to issuers offering QHPs through SBEs during the 2015 benefit year.

Audits to Determine Compliance with the Administration of APTC Program

Under title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) sections §§ [155.1210](#) and [156.480](#), the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) may audit¹ issuers that offer a QHP in the individual market through an Exchange to assess the degree of compliance with the APTC program requirements. HHS designates CMS to conduct these audits and to achieve the following objectives:

- Safeguard Federal funds;
- Instill confidence amongst regulated entities of data quality, soundness, and robustness;
- Evaluate health insurance issuer compliance with program rules and regulations; and
- Develop a successful and coordinated risk-based, multi-year audit program that maximizes resources.

This audit is part of CMS's program to validate the enrollment and payment data reported in the final 2015 EPDW submitted by the SBE, and to analyze controls and policies of selected issuers pursuant to the authority defined in 45 CFR §§ 155.1210 and 156.480.

¹ To provide the flexibility needed when standing up a new oversight program and to ensure that issuers are able to provide CMS with their most accurate data, audit protocols allow for dialog between auditor and issuer to identify and correct errors in data submission that differ somewhat from some independence and reporting standards laid out under Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). These procedures were defined and executed consistent with the competence, integrity, and analytical discipline required for performance audits as defined by GAGAS.

The findings and observations are documented below. If CMS found an instance of issuer non-compliance with APTC program requirements that requires correction to the APTC reported in the final EPDW, then CMS classified it as a *finding*. If CMS found a deviation from CMS or Exchange requirements that we are calling to the attention of management for purposes of improving compliance in future program years, but that does not require correction to payment, then CMS categorized it as an *observation*.

Results of Review

CMS identified six (6) observations for NHPRI. The observations include the following:

1. Differences in premium and APTC amounts identified in the comparison of the issuer's data included in the July 2016 EPDW submitted by the SBE to a Payment Desk Audit File containing subscriber level data from NHPRI's systems;
2. Inclusion of full month enrollment, premium, and payment data for two (2) duplicate subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File;
3. Inclusion of incorrectly prorated enrollment, premium, and payment data for three hundred and fifty-four (354) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File;
4. Inclusion of premium amounts that were less than the APTC amounts for ten (10) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File;
5. Differences in APTC amounts between the Payment Desk Audit File and SBE's Policy-level Reporting (PLR) data for one (1) of the forty-five (45) selected subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File; and
6. Differences in coverage periods between the Payment Desk Audit File and SBE's PLR data for four (4) of the forty-five (45) selected subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File.

Please refer to section IV for details on the observations listed above, including the condition, cause, effect, corrective actions, and the issuer's responses.

II. BACKGROUND, OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

A. Background

Sections 1401 and 1412 of the PPACA established the APTC program to support the provision of affordable health care coverage to individuals.

CMS has the responsibility to confirm successful implementation of, and adherence to, the PPACA provisions and implementing regulations governing the APTC program. As such, CMS established this audit program.

Interim Payment Process

In 2015, CMS implemented a temporary process (“interim payment process”) to calculate and make monthly payments of APTC and advance cost-sharing reduction (CSR) amounts. CMS used this interim payment process to calculate payments for all SBE issuers for the 2015-2017 benefit years. CMS transitioned most SBE issuers to policy-based payments (PBP) in 2018 and transitioned the last SBE to PBP in 2020.

For the 2015 benefit year, the interim payment process required SBE submitters, including the state of Rhode Island, to submit enrollment and payment data on behalf of its issuers on a monthly basis, including any adjustments to previous months’ requests, via manual submission of an EPDW, and to attest to the accuracy of the data. SBE submitters were required to calculate the QHP enrollment and payment amounts and to submit that information in the EPDW using their internal source data.

CMS calculated and made monthly payments based on the QHP data submitted in the EPDW. While using this interim process, CMS designed and implemented a robust set of internal controls within a larger program integrity framework to ensure payment accuracy. CMS required submitters to send the following QHP plan information at the variant level via the password-protected template:

1. State
2. Tax Identification Number (TIN)
3. Health Insurance Oversight System (HIOS) ID
4. QHP ID
5. Total premium amount for all enrollments
6. Total APTC amount
7. Total advance CSR amount
8. Total effectuated enrollment groups
9. Total effectuated enrollment groups with APTC
10. Total effectuated enrollment groups with advance CSR
11. Total effectuated members
12. Total effectuated members with APTC
13. Total effectuated members with advance CSR

CMS conducted a SBE payment close-out process for the 2015 benefit year in which CMS compared the EPDW data against the policy-level reporting (PLR) data submitted by the SBE. The PLR data was based on the monthly submissions that SBEs sent to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) for reporting purposes and contained cumulative individual market enrollment APTC data. CMS requested that SBEs append an additional field for the QHP ID for each policy and separately submit the data to CMS for this purpose. CMS asked SBEs or SBE issuers to explain any outlier discrepancies between EPDW and PLR data and to re-submit the EPDW, if necessary, or to verify that payment data was accurate despite discrepancies with PLR data.

B. Regulations Governing APTC Program

CMS established an audit protocol to assess health insurance issuers' compliance with the following regulations governing APTC program:

- [45 CFR § 155.1210](#): Maintenance of Records;
- [45 CFR § 156.460](#): Reduction of enrollee's share of premium to account for advance payments of the premium tax credit; and
- [45 CFR § 156.480](#): Oversight of the administration of the cost-sharing reductions and advance payments of the premium tax credit programs.

Please refer to Appendix 2 for the specific requirements established under the authorities listed above.

C. Objectives

The objectives of this audit are to:

- (1) Evaluate the accuracy and integrity of SBE-generated EPDW data reported for premiums and the APTC program;
- (2) Identify potential CMS APTC payment errors resulting from issuer or SBE data reporting errors;
- (3) Test accuracy and integrity of issuer processes for reducing an enrollee's share of premium to account for APTCs.

D. Scope and Methodology

CMS selected NHPRI for an audit to assess the issuer's compliance with the 45 CFR §§ 155.1210, 156.460 and 156.480. CMS evaluated NHPRI's activities related to the 2015 benefit year (January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015) individual market data reported in the final EPDW submitted in July 2016 by the SBE to CMS to support APTC payments and premium amounts.

CMS sent NHPRI an electronic letter on February 15, 2019 to notify them of the scope of this audit. CMS's audit contractor sent a follow-up letter to NHPRI on February 20, 2019 that identified data requirements required to conduct the audit. CMS's audit contractor reviewed the audit data file submitted by NHPRI, as well as the final 2015 EPDW submitted by the SBE to CMS and the PLR data submitted by the SBE to CMS, and used CMS's audit procedures to assess compliance with APTC program rules and regulations.

CMS's audit contractor applied CMS's audit protocol to identify the findings and observations listed in section IV of this report. CMS's audit contractor performed the following procedures²:

- Validations of the Payment Desk Audit File data submitted to CMS:
 - EPDW Validations: Review and comparison of the SBE's final submitted 2015 EPDW to the Payment Desk Audit File from the issuer's systems.
 - Unreconciled Subscribers Review: Review and comparison of the subscribers reported in the Payment Desk Audit File to the subscribers included in the SBE's PLR data to determine if the subscribers existed and their coverage was effectuated in the issuer's system (i.e., the amount the subscriber is responsible to pay toward the first month's total premium amount has been paid in full by the subscriber).
 - Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check: Review of the Payment Desk Audit File containing subscriber level data from the issuer's systems to verify that duplicate Exchange-assigned subscriber IDs (i.e., Exchange-assigned subscriber IDs that were reported in the file twice in the same month with full month or incorrectly prorated payment data) were not reported in the file.
 - Premium Less than APTC Validation: Review of the Payment Desk Audit File to verify that the subscribers' premium amounts reported in the file were not less than the APTC amounts reported in the file.
 - Coverage Days Validation: Review of the Payment Desk Audit File to verify that enrollments of five (5) days or fewer reported in the file were effectuated and had active coverage in the issuer's systems.
- Validations on samples of issuer's systems data:
 - Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review: Review and comparison of the coverage periods, premium and APTC amounts from the issuer's systems to the corresponding data included in the SBE's PLR data for a selected sample of forty-five (45) subscribers.
 - Fifteen (15) Subscribers Sample Review: Analysis and review of data and documentation from the issuer's systems to verify effectuation and the appropriate application of premium and APTC amounts to policies for a selected sample of fifteen (15) subscribers.
- Policy and Procedure Review: Review of issuer APTC policies and procedures for completeness and clarity.

² The Payment Desk Audit File is CMS's standard document for issuers to provide information in support of this audit.

III. RESULTS OF REVIEW

CMS assessed issuer compliance with regulations using the following procedures: EPDW Validations, Unreconciled Subscribers Review, Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check, Premium Less than APTC Validation, Coverage Days Validation, Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review, Fifteen (15) Subscribers Sample Review, and Policy and Procedure Review.

To build collaborative relationships and identify process improvements that support program integrity goals, CMS conducted a discrepancy phase following the review of the initial audit data submission to work with the issuer to resolve or reduce audit findings, thereby improving compliance. Additional follow-up with the SBE was performed as necessary to confirm or resolve the identified audit findings. Below are the results of this review following the discrepancy phase.

EPDW Validation

No findings and one (1) observation resulted from the comparison of the final 2015 EPDW submitted by the SBE to NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File. Please refer to Observation No. 1 included in section IV for details on the observation.

Unreconciled Subscribers Review

No findings or observations resulted from the review of NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File to determine if the subscribers reported in the file existed in the SBE's PLR data and their coverage was effectuated in the issuer's systems.

Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check

No findings and two (2) observations resulted from the review of NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File to verify that duplicate Exchange-assigned subscriber IDs were not reported in the file. Please refer to Observation No. 2 and Observation No. 3 included in section IV for details on the observations.

Premium Less than APTC Validation

No findings and one (1) observation resulted from the review of NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File to verify that subscribers were not reported in the file with premium amounts that were less than the APTC amounts. Please refer to Observation No. 4 included in section IV for details on the observation.

Coverage Days Validation

No findings or observations resulted from the review of NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File to verify that enrollments of five (5) days or fewer reported in the file were effectuated and had active coverage in the issuer's systems.

Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review

No findings and two (2) observations resulted from the review and comparison of the data from NHPRI's systems to the corresponding data included in the SBE's PLR data to determine accuracy of the reported enrollment months and the application of premium and APTC for a

selected sample of forty-five (45) subscribers. Please refer to Observation No. 5 and Observation No. 6 included in section IV for details on the observations.

Fifteen (15) Subscribers Sample Review

No findings or observations resulted from the review of the data and documentation from NHPRI's systems to verify effectuation and the appropriate application of premium and APTC amounts to policies for a selected sample of fifteen (15) subscribers.

Policy and Procedure Review

No findings or observations resulted from the review of NHPRI's APTC policies and procedures.

IV. FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS

A finding is an identification of an instance of issuer non-compliance with APTC program requirements that requires correction to payment. CMS's audit procedures identified no findings that resulted in a change to the APTC amounts reported in NHPRI's EPDW submitted by the SBE for individual market plans for the 2015 benefit year.

An observation is a deviation from CMS or Exchange requirements that we are calling to the attention of management for purposes of improving compliance in future program years but that does not require correction to payment. CMS's audit procedures identified six (6) observations that did not result in a change to the APTC or premium amounts reported in NHPRI's EPDW but that are noted for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.

In light of the six (6) observations, the adjusted 2015 benefit year EPDW APTC and premium amounts for individual market plans are shown in the following table.

Recalculated EPDW for the 2015 Benefit Year

	APTC	Premium (Observations)
EPDW as Filed by the SBE in July 2016	\$42,899,940.07	\$57,295,477.86
Observation No. 1 – EPDW Validations Adjustment	\$0.00	\$0.00
Observation No. 2 – Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check (Full Month) Adjustment	\$0.00	\$0.00
Observation No. 3 – Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check (Incorrect Proration) Adjustment	\$0.00	\$0.00
Observation No. 4 – Premium Less Than APTC Validation Adjustment	\$0.00	\$0.00
Observation No. 5 – Forty-five (45) Subscribers	\$0.00	\$0.00

	APTC	Premium (Observations)
Sample Review (Incorrect APTC Amount) Adjustment		
Observation No. 6 – Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review (Incorrect Coverage Period) Adjustment	\$0.00	\$0.00
EPDW As Recalculated	\$42,899,940.07	\$57,295,477.86
Total Impact	\$0.00	\$0.00

Note: Positive APTC values indicate funds owed to the issuer.

The net financial impact of the audit is \$0.00. For the six (6) observations, CMS documented the criteria, cause, effect, corrective actions, and NHPRI's responses as seen in the charts below.

Observation No. 1 – EPDW Validations	
Condition:	<p>APTC Differences (Finding) – For one (1) or more months of 2015 benefit year enrollment in ten (10) QHPs, the net “Total APTC Amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” included in NHPRI’s EPDW submitted by the SBE was less than the total APTC amount included in NHPRI’s Payment Desk Audit File, resulting in an understatement of \$126,961.37 in APTC. For the one (1) or more months of 2015 benefit year enrollment in ten (10) QHPs, the total net enrollment in the EPDW was understated by six hundred and fifty-seven (657) APTC enrollment groups and fifteen thousand, eight hundred and seventy-two (15,872) APTC members.</p> <p>Premium Differences (Observation) – For one (1) or more months of 2015 benefit year enrollment in eleven (11) QHPs, the net “Total Premium Amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” included in NHPRI’s EPDW submitted by the SBE was less than the total premium amount included in NHPRI’s Payment Desk Audit File, resulting in an understatement of \$480,124.65 in premiums. For the one (1) or more months of 2015 benefit year enrollment in eleven (11) QHPs, the total net enrollment in the EPDW was understated by one thousand, three hundred and seventy-seven enrollment groups and eighteen thousand, five hundred and twenty-eight (18,528) members.</p>

Observation No. 1 – EPDW Validations	
Criteria:	<p>Pursuant to CMS guidance and EPDW submission requirements:</p> <p>The “Total APTC amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” submitted on the EPDW is the “total APTC toward the total premium amount for effectuated enrollments within a 16-digit QHP ID.”</p> <p>The “Total premium amount by QHP ID for effectuated enrollments” submitted on the EPDW is the “total premium amount for the health coverage for all effectuated enrollments within that plan.”</p>
Cause:	<p>The issuer did not provide a response and deferred to the SBE to provide an explanation for the differences identified between the Payment Desk Audit File and EPDW data as the SBE performed billing and enrollment on behalf of the issuer and submitted the EPDW on behalf of the issuer.</p> <p>For the premium differences identified as a result of the EPDW Validations, the SBE indicated, “HSRI has reviewed the differences and we believe most of the discrepancies are the result of retroactive changes which may not have updated the carrier billing system. Premium discrepancies are less than 1% of total. For total members difference it appears to be a reporting error for HSRI vs NHP data. The member differences do not make sense in regard to the total enrollment group differences and premium differences being minimal.”</p> <p>For the APTC differences identified as a result of the EPDW Validations, the SBE indicated, “HSRI has reviewed the differences and we believe most of the discrepancies are the result of retroactive changes which may not have updated the carrier billing system. Total APTC differences are less than 0.3% of total APTC. For total members difference it appears to be a reporting error for HSRI vs NHP data. The member differences do not make sense in regard to the total enrollment group differences and APTC differences being minimal.”</p> <p>The SBE performed enrollment and billing on behalf of the issuer, however neither the issuer nor the SBE provided additional information or documentation to support the additional APTC amounts that were reported on the issuer submitted Payment Desk Audit File, and therefore CMS concluded no additional payment will result.</p>
Effect:	<p>The APTC and premium differences identified did not result in a change to NHPRI’s final, restated 2015 benefit year EPDW data submitted by the SBE. Pursuant to CMS audit procedures for SBEs that submitted workbooks to CMS, CMS will not make additional APTC payments to SBE issuers based on the issuer’s and SBE’s audit responses, unless the SBE or issuer also provide additional documentation to support those payments. Therefore, CMS will</p>

Observation No. 1 – EPDW Validations	
	continue to use the SBE-submitted EPDW as the final source of truth for payment and will not make adjustments based on the issuer's Payment Desk Audit File that includes additional enrollments and APTC that did not exist in the SBE-submitted EPDW.
Corrective Action Required:	CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance and issuer and SBE reconciliation in future program years.
Management Response:	Neighborhood Health Plan of Rhode Island (Neighborhood) agrees with the above observation and will continue to improve the enrollment and premium reconciliation process with the State-Based Exchange (SBE).

Observation No. 2 – Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check (Full Month)	
Condition:	NHPRI overstated the 2015 benefit year premium amounts for two (2) subscribers, and overstated the benefit year APTC amounts for one (1) of those subscribers, in the Payment Desk Audit File by reporting enrollment and full month payment data for the subscribers more than once in the same month.
Criteria:	Issuers cannot request full month payment from CMS for the same subscriber twice within a month.
Cause:	The issuer indicated “duplicate active account – error” and noted which of the two (2) duplicate records was considered the true enrollment record.
Effect:	The inclusion of the two (2) duplicate subscribers resulted in an overstatement of \$986.61 in APTC and \$1,839.92 in premiums in NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File.
Corrective Action Required:	CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance and issuer and SBE reconciliation in future program years.
Management Response:	Neighborhood agrees with the above observation and will continue to improve the enrollment reconciliation and premium process with the SBE.

Observation No. 3 – Duplicate Exchange-assigned Subscriber IDs Check (Incorrect Proration)	
Condition:	<p>NHPRI reported incorrect 2015 benefit year premium amounts for three hundred and three (303) subscribers, and incorrect 2015 benefit year APTC amounts for two hundred and eighty-seven (287) of those subscribers, in the Payment Desk Audit File by incorrectly prorating data for subscribers with mid-month terminations and re-enrollments.</p> <p>Upon further review, it was noted that NHPRI reported incorrect 2015 benefit year premium amounts for an additional fifty-one (51) subscribers, and reported incorrect 2015 benefit year APTC amounts for forty-two (42) of those subscribers, in the Payment Desk Audit File by incorrectly prorating data for subscribers with a partial month of coverage.</p>
Criteria:	Issuers cannot request full month payment from CMS for the same subscriber twice within a month. Additionally, pursuant to 45 CFR § 155.240, Exchanges may establish one or more standard processes for premium calculation and the issuer's Payment Desk Audit File included prorated payment data for partial months of coverage.
Cause:	The issuer indicated that there were rate changes or APTC changes that were not correctly reflected in the Payment Desk Audit File. Additionally, the issuer provided the correct premium and APTC amounts for the three hundred and fifty-four (354) subscribers with incorrect amounts reported in the Payment Desk Audit File.
Effect:	The inclusion of the incorrect amounts for the three hundred and fifty-four (354) subscribers resulted in an overstatement of \$18,056.32 in APTC and \$26,583.85 in premiums in NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File.
Corrective Action Required:	CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance and issuer and SBE reconciliation in future program years.
Management Response:	Neighborhood agrees with the above observation and will continue to improve the enrollment and premium reconciliation process with the SBE.

Observation No. 4 – Premium Less than APTC Validation	
Condition:	NHPRI reported 2015 benefit year premium amounts that were less than the APTC amounts for ten (10) subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File, resulting from NHPRI understating the 2015 benefit year

	premium amounts for seven (7) of those subscribers and overstating the 2015 benefit year APTC amounts for three (3) of those subscribers in the Payment Desk Audit File.
Criteria:	Issuers cannot report an APTC amount that exceeds the premium amount for a policy.
Cause:	<p>The issuer did not indicate a response and deferred to the SBE to provide an explanation for why the APTC amounts exceeded the premium amounts as the SBE performed billing and enrollment on behalf of the issuer and submitted the EPDW on behalf of the issuer.</p> <p>The SBE either provided the correct premium and APTC amounts for the subscribers or the correct amount was determined based on the SBE's PLR data.</p>
Effect:	The inclusion of the incorrect premium or APTC amounts for the ten (10) subscribers resulted in an overstatement of \$15,252.35 in APTC and understatement of \$63,065.29 in premiums in NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File.
Corrective Action Required:	CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance and issuer and SBE reconciliation in future program years.
Management Response:	Neighborhood agrees with the above observation and will continue to improve the enrollment and premium reconciliation process with the SBE.

Observation No. 5 – Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review (Incorrect APTC Amount)	
Condition:	NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File included a different APTC amount than the SBE's PLR data for one (1) of the forty-five (45) selected subscribers.
Criteria:	<p>Pursuant to CMS guidance, the issuer must create a single Inbound Payment Desk Audit File consisting of detailed enrollment group effectuated enrollment records (one per enrollment group, per month) with the corresponding payment data.</p> <p>Pursuant to CMS guidance, the APTC amount reported in the EPDW and Payment Desk Audit File is the APTC amount toward the total premium amount for effectuated enrollments.</p>
Cause:	For the one (1) subscriber, the issuer's Payment Desk Audit File included an APTC amount of \$ 1,063.94 while the SBE's PLR data

Observation No. 5 – Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review (Incorrect APTC Amount)	
	included an APTC amount of \$1,030.95 for December. The issuer did not provide a response and deferred to the SBE to provide an explanation; however, the SBE did not indicate an explanation for the APTC amount difference. The SBE performed enrollment and billing on behalf of the issuer, however neither the issuer nor the SBE provided additional information or documentation to support the additional APTC amount that was reported for December on the issuer submitted Payment Desk Audit File.
Effect:	The APTC difference identified did not result in a change to NHPRI's final, restated 2015 benefit year EPDW data submitted by the SBE. Pursuant to CMS audit procedures for SBEs that submitted workbooks to CMS, CMS will not make additional APTC payments to SBE issuers based on the issuer's and SBE's audit responses, unless the SBE or issuer also provide additional documentation to support those payments.
Corrective Action Required:	CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance and issuer and SBE reconciliation in future program years.
Management Response:	Neighborhood agrees with the above observation and will continue to improve the enrollment and premium reconciliation process with the SBE.

Observation No. 6 – Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review (Incorrect Coverage Period)	
Condition:	NHPRI's Payment Desk Audit File included a different coverage period than the SBE's PLR data for four (4) of the forty-five (45) selected subscribers.
Criteria:	Pursuant to CMS guidance, the issuer must create a single Inbound Payment Desk Audit File consisting of detailed enrollment group effectuated enrollment records (one per enrollment group, per month) with the corresponding payment data.
Cause:	For the four (4) subscribers, the issuer's Payment Desk Audit File did not include certain months of coverage that were reported in the SBE's PLR data. The issuer did not provide a response and deferred to the SBE to provide an explanation; however, the SBE did not indicate an explanation for the coverage period differences. The SBE performed enrollment and billing on behalf of the issuer, however

Observation No. 6 – Forty-five (45) Subscribers Sample Review (Incorrect Coverage Period)	
	neither the issuer nor the SBE provided additional information or documentation to support the extra months of enrollment that were reported in the SBE's PLR data.
Effect:	The coverage period difference identified did not result in a change to NHPRI's final, restated 2015 benefit year EPDW data submitted by the SBE. Pursuant to CMS audit procedures for SBEs that submitted workbooks to CMS, CMS will not make additional APTC payments to SBE issuers based on the issuer's and SBE's audit responses, unless the SBE or issuer also provide additional documentation to support those payments.
Corrective Action Required:	CMS notes this observation for purposes of improving compliance and issuer and SBE reconciliation in future program years.
Management Response:	Neighborhood agrees with the above observation and will continue to improve the enrollment and premium reconciliation process with the SBE.

V. MANAGEMENT RESPONSES

Please provide management's response to the six (6) observations identified in the draft audit report and complete the attached Appendix 1 - Issuer Management Response to Net Financial Adjustment (Appendix 1), within thirty (30) calendar days from the draft audit report date. Management's response should indicate agreement or disagreement.

Agreement

If management agrees with the six (6) observations, complete the "Management Response" field of the findings and observations in the draft audit report, and initial "Agree" and sign the attached Appendix 1. Return the draft audit report including Appendix 1 within thirty (30) calendar days from the draft audit report date. Upon receipt of the signed Appendix 1, CMS will finalize and publish the report on the CCIIO webpage. CMS will process the final payment adjustment amount in the next available monthly payment cycle.

Disagreement

If management disagrees with the six (6) observations, complete the "Management Response" field of the findings and observations in the draft audit report, and initial "Disagree" and sign the attached Appendix 1. Return the draft audit report including Appendix 1 and any supporting documentation that substantiates management's response within thirty (30) calendar days from the draft audit report date. This will be the final opportunity to provide information or supporting documentation to correct any inaccuracies in the report before it is finalized.

CMS will review the written explanations in the "Management Response" field of the findings and observations and any supporting documentation to determine if the report can be amended in a mutually acceptable manner. If you and CMS are unable to come to a mutually acceptable result, your response to this report will be included in the final published audit report.

Please return the updated Appendix 1 within fifteen (15) calendar days. Upon receipt of the signed Appendix 1, CMS will finalize and publish the report on the CCIIO webpage. CMS will process the final payment adjustment amount in the next available monthly payment cycle.

Appendix 1 – Issuer Management Response to Net Financial Adjustment

Issuer HIOS ID: 77514

Issuer Name: Neighborhood Health Plan of Rhode Island (NHPRI)

The undersigned Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) or other individual who can legally and financially bind this issuer has reviewed the information included in the audit report of the issuer's 2015 benefit year APTC program participation, resulting in a payment of \$0.00 and:

(INITIAL) MS Agrees with the audit net adjustment amount above, confirming the audit finding(s) and observation(s), if applicable, and as such this report will be considered final and published.

OR

(INITIAL) _____ Disagrees and requests a review of additional information that may impact the audit net adjustment amount resulting from the 2015 benefit year audit. If review is requested, CMS will consider this draft only a preliminary audit report. If the review option is selected, you must provide a written explanation with any additional documentation when you return this response within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this draft audit report. CMS will review the written explanation and any supporting documentation to determine if the report can be amended in a mutually acceptable manner. If you and CMS are unable to come to a mutually acceptable result, your response to this report will be included in the final published audit report.

Signed: Michelle Sears
(Signature of authorized person acting on behalf of the issuer)

Printed Name: Michelle Sears
(Print name of signature)

Title: Chief Financial Officer
(Title of authorized person acting on behalf of the Issuer)

Telephone Number: 401-427-8164
(Direct Telephone Number)

Date: 5/11/2022

Appendix 2 – Applicable Regulations

The following table identifies the specific regulatory requirements around which CMS has organized its audits.

Regulation	Rules
45 CFR § 155.1210 – Maintenance of Records	<p>(a) General. The State Exchange must maintain and must ensure its contractors, subcontractors, and agents maintain for 10 years, documents and records (whether paper, electronic, or other media) and other evidence of accounting procedures and practices, which are sufficient to do the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(1) Accommodate periodic auditing of the State Exchange's financial records; and(2) Enable HHS or its designee(s) to inspect facilities, or otherwise evaluate the State- Exchange's compliance with Federal standards. <p>(b) Records. The State Exchange and its contractors, subcontractors, and agents must ensure that the records specified in paragraph (a) of this section include, at a minimum, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(1) Information concerning management and operation of the State Exchange's financial and other record keeping systems;(2) Financial statements, including cash flow statements, and accounts receivable and matters pertaining to the costs of operations;(3) Any financial reports filed with other Federal programs or State authorities;(4) Data and records relating to the State Exchange's eligibility verifications and determinations, enrollment transactions, appeals, and plan variation certifications; and(5) Qualified health plan contracting (including benefit review) data and consumer outreach and Navigator grant oversight information. <p>(c) Availability. A State Exchange must make all records and must ensure its contractors, subcontractors, and agents must make all records in paragraph (a) of this section available to HHS, the OIG, the Comptroller General, or their designees, upon request.</p>

Regulation	Rules
<p>45 CFR § 156.460 - Reduction of enrollee's share of premium to account for advance payments of the premium tax credit</p>	<p>(a) Reduction of enrollee's share of premium to account for advance payments of the premium tax credit. A QHP issuer that receives notice from the Exchange that an individual enrolled in the issuer's QHP is eligible for an advance payment of the premium tax credit must—</p> <p>(1) Reduce the portion of the premium charged to or for the individual for the applicable month(s) by the amount of the advance payment of the premium tax credit;</p> <p>(2) Notify the Exchange of the reduction in the portion of the premium charged to the individual in accordance with § 156.265(g); and</p> <p>(3) Include with each billing statement, as applicable, to or for the individual the amount of the advance payment of the premium tax credit for the applicable month(s), and the remaining premium owed.</p>
<p>45 CFR § 156.480 - Oversight of the administration of the cost-sharing reductions and advance payments of the premium tax credit programs.</p>	<p>(a) Maintenance of records. An issuer that offers a QHP in the individual market through a State Exchange must adhere to, and ensure that any relevant delegated entities and downstream entities adhere to, the standards set forth in § 156.705 concerning maintenance of documents and records, whether paper, electronic, or in other media, by issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange, in connection with cost-sharing reductions and advance payments of the premium tax credit.</p> <p>(b) Annual reporting requirements. For each benefit year, an issuer that offers a QHP in the individual market through an Exchange must report to HHS, in the manner and timeframe required by HHS, summary statistics specified by HHS with respect to administration of cost-sharing reduction and advance payments of the premium tax credit programs, including any failure to adhere to the standards set forth under § 156.410(a) through (d), § 156.425(a) through (b), and § 156.460(a) through (c) of this Part.</p> <p>(c) Audits. HHS or its designee may audit an issuer that offers a QHP in the individual market through an Exchange to assess compliance with the requirements of this subpart.</p>

Regulation	Rules
<p>45 CFR § 156.705 – Maintenance of records for Federally-facilitated Exchanges</p>	<p>(a) <i>General standard.</i> Issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange must maintain all documents and records (whether paper, electronic, or other media) and other evidence of accounting procedures and practices, necessary for HHS to do the following:</p> <p>(1) Periodically audit financial records related to QHP issuers' participation in a Federally-facilitated Exchange, and evaluate the ability of QHP issuers to bear the risk of potential financial losses; and</p> <p>(2) Conduct compliance reviews or otherwise monitor QHP issuers' compliance with all Exchange standards applicable to issuers offering QHPs in a federally-facilitated Exchange as listed in this part.</p> <p>(b) <i>Records.</i> The records described in paragraph (a) of this section include the sources listed in § 155.1210(b)(2), (3), and (5) of this subchapter.</p> <p>(c) <i>Record retention timeframe.</i> Issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange must maintain all records referenced in paragraph (a) of this section for 10 years.</p> <p>(d) <i>Record availability.</i> Issuers offering QHPs in a Federally-facilitated Exchange must make all records in paragraph (a) of this section available to HHS, the OIG, the Comptroller General, or their designees, upon request.</p>

Appendix 3 – Glossary of Terms and Acronyms

Terms & Acronyms	Definition
APTC	Advance Payments of the Premium Tax Credit
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CFO	Chief Financial Officer
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMS	Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
CSR	Cost-sharing Reduction
DHHS	Department of Health and Human Services
EPDW	Enrollment and Payment Data Workbook
GAGAS	Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards
HIOS	Health Insurance Oversight System
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
PPACA	Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act
PLR	Policy-level Reporting
QHP	Qualified Health Plan
SBE	State-based Exchange
TIN	Tax Identification Number